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## Motivation

- Symbolic search and heuristic search are two successful approaches to optimal planning.

|  |
| :--- |
| Symbolic Planner |
|  |
| Symbolic state representation |
| Blind search |

## Heuristic Planner

- Explicit state representation
- Informed search
- Symbolic heuristics


## Symbolic Search for Optimal Planning

- Operations on sets of states
- $S \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ represented by characteristic function $\chi_{S}$
- Manipulating $S \triangleq$ Transforming $\chi_{S}$
- E.g. $S \cap S^{\prime} \hat{=} \chi_{S} \wedge \chi_{S^{\prime}}$
- Binary Decision Diagrams (BDDs)
- Search performance depends on the size of BDDs
$\stackrel{\downarrow}{\underset{\sim}{x}} 1$

$(I) \rightarrow S_{1} \rightarrow S_{2} \rightarrow S_{3} \rightarrow S_{4}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{g} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3\end{array}$


## Symbolic Heuristic Search - BDDA*

- Given a set of states $S$, split it according to their $h$-value $S_{i}^{\prime}=S \wedge H_{i}$.

- Consistent heuristics reduce the number of necessary state expansions
- Heuristic computation and state evaluation are expensive.


$$
f=4
$$

## Symbolic Heuristic Search -

 Performance- Oberservation: A BDD $B_{S^{\prime}}$ can be larger than $\mathrm{BDD} B_{S}$ although the set of states $S^{\prime}$ is a strict subset of $S$, i.e. $S^{\prime} \subsetneq S$.
$-\rightsquigarrow$ In symbolic search, the search performance is not directly related to the number of explicit states that have to be expanded.


## Theoretical Results

Splitting BDDs according to heuristic values can increase or decrease the sizes of the resulting BDDs.

- In the worst case exponentially
- Even with the perfect heuristic $h^{\star}$

| $\rightsquigarrow$ Exponential increase or decrease in search performance! |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Empirical Results

- BDDA $^{\star}$ with fraction perfect heuristics $\rightsquigarrow c \cdot h^{\star}$
- BDD sizes can increase or decrease
- Successor computation can take longer
- Although fewer states are expanded
- $\rightsquigarrow$ Larger BDDs
- Similar results for uni- and bidrectional search


## Conclusion

- Heuristic computation and state evaluation are expensive.
- Overall target: small BDDs
- Fewer States $\nRightarrow$ smaller BDDs

